

R. K. LINDSEY, Chair  
BOB BALGENORTH, Vice Chair  
JEREMIAH F. HALLISEY  
ALLEN M. LAWRENCE  
JOHN R. LAWSON  
DIANNE McKENNA  
JOSEPH TAVAGLIONE  
ESTEBAN E. TORRES

SENATOR KEVIN MURRAY, Ex Officio  
ASSEMBLYMAN JOHN DUTRA, Ex Officio

DIANE C. EIDAM, Executive Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA



GRAY DAVIS  
GOVERNOR

## **CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

1120 N STREET, MS-52  
P. O. BOX 942873  
SACRAMENTO, 94273-0001  
FAX (916) 653-2134  
FAX (916) 654-4364  
(916) 654-4245

### **NOTICE AND AGENDA**

### **FOR**

### **CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

### **MEETING**

**APRIL 3, 2003**

**SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA**

A copy of this meeting notice and agenda will be posted 10 days prior to the meeting and related book items will be posted 5 days prior to the meeting on the California Transportation Commission

Website: [www.catc.ca.gov](http://www.catc.ca.gov)

Questions or inquiries about this meeting may be directed to the Commission staff at (916) 654-4245.

Persons attending the meeting who wish to address the California Transportation Commission on a subject to be considered at this meeting are asked to complete a Speaker Request Card and give it to the Executive Assistant prior to the discussion of the item. If you would like to present handouts/written material to the California Transportation Commission at the meeting, please provide a minimum of 25 copies.

# **AGENDA**

## **CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

<http://www.catc.ca.gov>

**April 3, 2003  
Sacramento, California**

---

### **Thursday, April 3, 2003**

**10:30 a.m.**

#### **Commission Meeting (One-Day Business Meeting Only)**

Secretary of State  
Auditorium, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
1500 11th Street  
Sacramento, California

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

\* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (B,T & H) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

**GENERAL BUSINESS**

1.

- Roll Call 1.(1) I C
- Approval of Minutes for February 27, 2003 Meeting 1.(2) A C
- Commission Reports 1.(3) A C
  - Report by Mass Transportation Committee
  - Report by Planning Committee
  - Report by Streets & Highways Committee
- Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation 1.(4) A C

**BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY REPORT**

- Report by Secretary and/or Assistant Secretary 1.(5) I B

**CALTRANS REPORT**

- Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director 1.(6) I D

**REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT**

- Report by Regional Agencies Moderator 1.(7) I R
- Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair 1.(8) I R

**INFORMATION CALENDAR**

IC

I C

- To Be Determined

**CONSENT CALENDAR**

CC

A C

- To Be Determined

**PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MATTERS**

- To Be Determined

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

**PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS**

2.

**Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP  
Amendments for Action**

2.1a.

- STIP Amendment 02S-047

2.1a.(1)

**A D**

The San Luis Obispo Council of Governments (SLOCOG) is requesting this amendment. SLOCOG requests that the Commission approve a replacement project per AB 3090, to replace the currently programmed Branch Mill Road Rehabilitation project (PPNO 0989A) in the City of Arroyo Grande. The City of Arroyo Grande will use its local funds (General Fund and Construction Fund) to complete the design (PS&E) and Construction (CON) components in order to advance the delivery of the Branch Mill Road Rehabilitation project, and will use the \$15,000 currently programmed in FY 2005-06 and the \$229,000 currently programmed in FY 2006-07 for a replacement project. This is a revenue neutral programming action. (Noticed at January 2003 CTC Meeting.)

- STIP Amendment 02S-049

2.1a.(2)

**A D**

The Transportation Agency for Monterey County (TAMC) is requesting this amendment. TAMC proposes to revise the scope of the Davis Road Bridge Replacement project (PPNO 1152) in Monterey County from four lanes to two lanes, and to shift a total of \$315,000 of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds to match the federal Highway Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation (HBRR) funds by component. A portion of the STIP funds currently programmed in the design component will be shifted to the environmental and right of way components, with \$130,000 in FY 2003-04, \$135,000 in FY 2004-05, and \$50,000 in FY 2005-06, leaving \$85,000 remaining in the design component in FY 2005-06. This is a revenue neutral programming action. (Noticed at February 2003 CTC Meeting.)

- STIP Amendment 02S-050

2.1a.(3)

**A D**

The City of Sacramento is requesting this amendment. The City of Sacramento requests that the Commission designate a project to widen West El Camino Avenue (PPNO 3L20) as a replacement project per AB 3090. The City of Sacramento will use local funds (Major Street Construction Tax) in FY 2002-03 to advance construction for PPNO 3L20 and will use a total of \$3,375,000 programmed for Construction in FY 2006-07 for a replacement project. This is a revenue neutral programming action. (Noticed at February 2003 CTC Meeting.)

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-051</li> </ul> <p>The San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) is requesting this amendment. SANDAG is requesting that the Commission designate a group of projects (referred to as the "Managed Lanes" project) along Route 15 in San Diego County (Post Mile R10.7 to Post Mile R31.8) as a single "Corridor Project", as defined in the California Transportation Commission STIP Guidelines. This is a revenue neutral programming action. (Noticed at February 2003 CTC Meeting.)</p>	2.1a.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-052</li> </ul> <p>The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is requesting this amendment. LACMTA proposes to revise various 2002 STIP projects in Los Angeles County. This amendment shifts a total of \$316,832,000 of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds from four state highway projects and ten local road projects to three new STIP transit projects. The amendment results in fully funding three transit projects and a highway project (PPNO: 0158K), fully funding some components for three state highway projects, fully funding some components for one local road project, and deleting nine local road projects. The amendment also shifts Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funds between two highway projects (PPNO: 2808A and PPNO: 0151E). LACMTA also requests that the Commission designate the San Fernando Valley East-West Corridor Bus Rapid Transit project (PPNO: 2891) as a replacement project, and the Eastside Light Rail Transit (PPNO: 2890) and Low Emission Bus Acquisition projects (PPNO: 3317) as reimbursement projects per AB 3090. Los Angeles County will advance project delivery now through the sale of bonds for later funds reimbursement in the programmed years specified by this amendment. This is a revenue neutral programming action. (Noticed at February 2003 CTC Meeting.)</p>	2.1a.(5)		A D
	<b><u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Notice</u></b>	2.1b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-053</li> </ul> <p>The Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG) is requesting this amendment. The City of Fort Bragg proposes to delay \$167,000 of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds from FY 2003-04 to FY 2004-05 for the construction phase of the Main and Laurel Signal Installation project (PPNO 4085P). This is a revenue neutral programming action.</p>	2.1b.(1)		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-054</li> </ul> <p>The Tehama County Transportation Commission (TCTC) is requesting this amendment. Tehama County proposes to move \$123,000 of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds from the Construction (CON) component in FY 2003-04 to the environmental (PA&amp;ED) component in FY 2003-04 and shift the remaining \$3,658,000 in construction to FY 2005-06 for the Flores Avenue project (PPNO 2032). This is a revenue neutral programming action.</p>	2.1b.(2)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-055</li> </ul> <p>The Trinity County Transportation Commission is requesting this amendment. Trinity County proposes to revise construction funding of \$4,486,000 of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds on the East Connector Road project (PPNO 2138) by shifting \$4,271,000 from FY 2003-04 to FY 2005-06 and reprogramming \$215,000 to two existing projects and one new project in FYs 2003-04 and 2004-05; \$40,000 to FY 2003-04 for the Kettenpom Creek Bridge (PPNO 2222); \$25,000 to FY 2004-05 for the Browns Creek Bridge (PPNO 2223); and \$150,000 to FY 2003-04 for the Weaverville Pedestrian project (PPNO 3163). Trinity County also proposes to shift \$1,448,000 of RIP construction funding and \$12,000 of RIP PS&amp;E funding from two existing projects to the right of way component in FY 2005-06 on the East Connector Road project (PPNO 2138) by deleting construction funding of \$735,000 in FY 2005-06 on the Ruth-Zebia Road Rehabilitation (Phase 4) project (PPNO 2277) and deleting PS&amp;E funding of \$12,000 in FY 2004-05 and construction funding of \$713,000 in FY 2005-06 on the East Side Road Rehabilitation (Phase 2) project (PPNO 2278). This is a revenue neutral programming action.</p>	2.1b.(3)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-056</li> </ul> <p>Trinity County is requesting this amendment. Trinity County proposes to delay \$665,000 of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds for the construction component for two projects: 1) Mad River Road Rehabilitation (PPNO 2220) \$515,000 from Fiscal Year (FY) 2003-04 to FY 2004-05; and 2) Van Horn Creek Bridge project (PPNO 2224) \$150,000 from FY 2003-04 to FY 2005-06. This is a revenue neutral programming action.</p>	2.1b.(4)	I	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-057</li> </ul> <p>The Department of Transportation (Department) and Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA) are requesting this amendment. The Department and CCTA propose to revise the project description for the Route 680 Auxiliary Lane Project (PPNO 0274H) and funding plan to reflect the combining of Segment 1 and Segment 3. This is a revenue neutral programming action.</p>	2.1b.(5)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-058</li> </ul> <p>The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) is requesting this amendment. The County of Riverside proposes to 1) replace \$6,000,000 of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds programmed in FY 2004-05 for construction of the Newport Road project (PPNO 1102) with Riverside County local funds, and 2) move the \$6,000,000 of RIP funds to the Route 79, Hunter Road/Domenigoni Parkway Widening project (PPNO 0066D). The Newport Road project (PPNO 1102) would be deleted from the 2002 STIP and implemented by Riverside County with 100 percent local funds. This is a revenue neutral programming action.</p>	2.1b.(6)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-059</li> </ul> <p>The Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) is requesting this amendment. SBCAG requests that the Commission approve a replacement project per AB 3090, to replace the currently programmed Route 101/135 Interchange Project (PPNO 0840) in the City of Santa Maria. The City of Santa Maria will use its local funds in FY 2002-03 to advance the environmental work (PA&amp;ED) for the Route 101/135 Interchange Project. The PA&amp;ED component is currently programmed in the STIP for \$550,000 in FY 2005-06 and for \$75,000 in FY 2006-07. This is a revenue neutral programming action.</p>	2.1b.(7)	I	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-060 Santa Clara Valley Transportation authority (VTA) is requesting this amendment. VTA proposes that the Commission select three projects for Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicle (GARVEE) funding to advance project delivery. Two of the projects are current STIP projects 1) Route 880/Coleman Interchange (PPNO 0409C); and 2) Route 87 HOV Lane – I-280 to SR-85 (PPNO 0443S); and one new project 3) Route 87 North HOV Lane – Julian Street to Route 280 (PPNO 0443N) which will be programmed with this amendment. Projects 1 and 2 will be reprogrammed and project 3 will be programmed as GARVEE debt service projects. Reprogrammed Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds on projects 1 and 2 along with a commitment from VTA for future county shares will be used to pay the debt service for the bond. The bond proceeds will fund utility relocation, construction and construction support for the three projects starting in FY 2003-04. This is a revenue neutral programming action for the 2002 STIP cycle.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(8)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-061 The City of Sacramento is requesting this amendment. The City of Sacramento proposes to designate the 65th Street Improvements project (PPNO 3L22) as a reimbursement project per AB 3090. The City of Sacramento will use its Local Funds to complete the design (PS&amp;E) now for later Regional Improvement Program (RIP) reimbursement in Fiscal Year (FY) 2005-06. The City of Sacramento also proposes to revise PS&amp;E funding of \$235,000 of RIP funds by shifting \$85,000 from PS&amp;E to Right of Way. The PS&amp;E component is currently programmed in FY 2005-06 for \$235,000. This is a revenue neutral programming action.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(9)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-062 The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) is requesting this amendment. RCTC requests that the Commission designate a group of projects along Route 71 and the Route 71/91 Interchange in Riverside County as a single "Corridor Project", as defined in the California Transportation Commission STIP Guidelines. This is a revenue neutral programming action.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(10)		I D



	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-063</li> </ul> <p>The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) proposes to designate the Planning, Programming and Monitoring (PPM) program (PPNO 9001) as a reimbursement project per AB 3090 for the funds programmed in FY 2002-03. A total of \$3,837,000 of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds is currently programmed in FY 2002-03 for the PPM program. The LACMTA will use its local funds to fund the program now for later RIP reimbursement in FY 2004-05. This is a revenue neutral programming action.</p>	2.1b.(11)		I D
	<b><u>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Application Approvals/Amendments</u></b>	2.1c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.1c.(1)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.1c.(2)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Informational Report on Delegated Minor Amendments for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Projects per G-01-23 – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.1c.(3)		
	<b><u>Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/Amendments</u></b>	2.1d.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project Approval Amendment to San Luis Obispo Council of Governments/Cambria Community Services District – Cambria Crosstown Trail. Request to transfer eligible applicant status from the San Luis Obispo Council of Governments to the Cambria Community Services District and reprogram \$27,730 in savings from the completed Paulding School Bikeway project in Arroyo Grande to the Cambria Crosstown Trail in Cambria. Resolution PA-03-____, Amending PA-01-08.</li> </ul>	2.1d.		A D
	<b><u>Proposition 116 – Rail Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.1e.		
	<b><u>Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Program Amendment Approvals – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.1f.		
	<b><u>Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.2a.		

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<b><u>Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIS/EIR) – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.2b.		
	<b><u>Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR)</u></b>	2.2c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Consideration of Future Funding and Route Adoption – 11-Imp-78 KP R14.6/R24.8 (PM R09.1/R15.4), and 11-Imp-111 KP R33.0/R39.7 (PM R20.5/R24.7). (FEIR) Resolution E-03-09</li> </ul>	2.2c.(1)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Consideration of Funding – Route 15 in San Diego County – Construct HOV lanes (managed lanes). (ND) Resolution E-03-10</li> </ul>	2.2c.(2)	A	D
	<b><u>Highway Route Matters</u></b>	2.3		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One Route Adoption of a Freeway location for State Highway Route 78, northwest of the City of Brawley, to State Route 111, southwest of the City of Brawley, in Imperial County. 11-Imp-78 KP R14.6/R24.8 (PM R09.1/R15.4) and 11-Imp-111 KP R33.0/R39.7 (PM R20.5/R24.7) Resolution HRA-03-02</li> </ul>	2.3a.	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New Public Road Connection – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.3b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Six Relinquishment Resolutions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- 08-SBd-15, 30-PM 9.2/9.4 Routes 15, 30 in the City of Rancho Cucamonga Resolution R-3542</li> <li>-- 08-SBd-30-PM 9.4/9.6 Route 30 in the City of Rancho Cucamonga Resolution R-3543</li> <li>-- 08-SBd-30-PM 12.7/15.0 Route 30 in the City of Fontana Resolution R-3544</li> <li>-- 08-SBd-30, 210-PM 4.0/9.4 Routes 30, 210 in the City of Rancho Cucamonga Resolution R-3545</li> <li>-- 08-SBd-30, 210-PM 9.2/12.6 Routes 30, 210 in the City of Fontana Resolution R-3546</li> <li>-- 08-SBd-66-PM 4.1/10.9 Route 66 in the City of Rancho Cucamonga Resolution R-3547</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2.3c.	A	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One Vacation Resolution</li> <li>-- 07-LA-1-PM 50.9 Route 1 in the City of Malibu Resolution A-851</li> </ul>	2.3d.		A D
	<b><u>Highway Right of Way Matters</u></b>	2.4		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Resolution of Necessity – Appearances – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.4a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>15 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-18764 Through C-18778</li> </ul>	2.4b.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.4c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Four Director's Deeds Items #1 Through #4 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$693,524 Return to Others: \$0</li> </ul>	2.4d.		A D
	<b><u>Highway Financial Matters</u></b>			
	\$ 10,700,000 Total State Funds for New Safety/Emergency Projects \$ _____ Contributions from Other Sources for New Safety/Emergency Projects \$ 10,700,000 Grand Total – New Safety/Emergency Projects w/ State Participation			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocations for nine Minor Safety/Emergency Projects totaling \$3,819,000. Resolution FP-02-57</li> </ul>	2.5a.		A D
	<b>Financial Allocations for STIP/SHOPP Projects On the State Highway System</b>	2.5b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for STIP Projects On the State Highway System – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5b.(1)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for SHOPP Projects – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5b.(2)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for One SHOPP Safety Project Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action in Santa Clara and San Benito Counties for \$6,881,000. Resolution FP-02-58</li> </ul>	2.5b.(3)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for SHOPP Managed TEA Projects on the State Highway System – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5b.(4)		
	<b>Financial Allocation Amendments for Local Assistance STIP Projects Off the State Highway System – <u>No Items This Month</u></b>	2.5c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Projects that Exceed 20 Percent of Their Programmed Amount – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5d.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Project – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5e.		
	<b>Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority</b>	2.5f.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Emergency: G-11 Allocations. Fourteen projects totaling \$7,117,118.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(1)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit: (Phase I): G-11 Allocations. One project in Los Angeles County for \$256,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(2)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit: (Proposition 192, Phase II): G-11 Allocations – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5f.(3)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on SHOPP Safety: G-01-10 Allocations. One project in San Diego County for \$1,050,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(4)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocation for Local Street and Road Pavement Rehabilitation: G-01-04 Allocations – <b><u>No Items This Month – Delegation Suspended until July 2003</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5f.(5)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocation for Project Development Components of Local Grant Projects: G-01-03 Allocations – <b><u>No Items This Month – Delegation Suspended until July 2003</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5f.(6)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocation for Rideshare Projects in the STIP: G-01-07 Allocations – <b><u>No Items This Month – Delegation Suspended until July 2003</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5f.(7)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocations for RSTP/CMAQ Match Reserve Projects: G-01-05 Allocations – <b><u>No Items This Month – Delegation Suspended until July 2003</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5f.(8)		

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocation for Planning, Programming and Monitoring Funds: G-01-06 Allocations. <b><u>No Projects This Month – Delegation Suspended until July 2003</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5f.(9)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation Adjustment for \$28,067,000 for STIP Project on the State Highway System – Exchange RIP funds for Federal Congestion Management and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds on Route 210 Corridor Project in San Bernardino County. Resolution FP-02-59, Amending Resolution FP-01-90</li> </ul>	2.5g.	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exchange of \$15,000,000 Capital Outlay State Highway Operations and Protection Program (SHOPP) State Funds for Local Assistance Federal Trust Fund Dollars for Project s Programmed in the Safe Routes to Schools Program Resolution FM-02-03</li> </ul>	2.5h.	A	D
	<b><u>Mass Transportation Financial Matters</u></b>			
	\$0 Total Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP) \$0 Total State Transp. Improvement Program (STIP) \$0 Total Proposition 116 Program Funds <u>\$0</u> Total Allocation Amendments \$0 Grand Total State Allocations			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for STIP Rail/Transit Projects – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.6a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Proposition 116 Program Project – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.6b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposition 116 Allocation Amendments – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.6c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Multi-Program Funded Projects – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.6d.		
	<b><u>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.6e.		

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Delegated Allocations for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Projects, per Resolution G-02-10 – <b><u>No Items This Month (Suspended)</u></b></li> </ul>	2.6f.		
	<b>Aeronautics Financial Matters – <u>No Items This Month</u></b>	2.7		
	<b>Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-01-21, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests – <u>No Items This Month</u></b>	2.8		
	<b>Technical Changes to Previously Approved Resolutions/Actions</b>	2.9		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Resolution FP-01-31, originally approved on November 7-8, 2001 in the amount of \$4,939,000 plus \$3,263,000 from other sources for seven Local Assistance (STIP) projects. Project #4 for \$1,075,000 for City of Placerville was inadvertently voted as a Local Assistance project instead of a Capital Outlay (Proposition 35) project as it was programmed. This Technical Change corrects the vote category and the funding codes for this project allocated from the Budget Act of 2001 from 101-0042 to 301-0042 and from 101-0890 to 301-0890, and Program Code from 20.30.600.620 to 20.20.075.600.</li> </ul>	2.9	A	D
	<b><u>PROGRAM STATUS</u></b>	3.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Caltrans Monthly FY 2002-03 Finance Report -- Cash Forecast Status</li> </ul>	3.1	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded</li> </ul>	3.2	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action</li> </ul>	3.3	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded</li> </ul>	3.4	I	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<b><u>POLICY MATTERS</u></b>	4.		
	• State Legislative Matters *	4.1	A	C
	*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Chief Deputy Director Joan Borucki at (916) 653-3148.			
	<b>Funding Issues</b>	4.2		
	• Allocation Plan	4.2a.	A	C
	• AB 3090 Policy Resolution G-03-____	4.2b.	A	C
	• Schedule for 2004 STIP Fund Estimate	4.2c.	A	C
	<b>List of Pending Allocations and Waiver/Time Extensions</b>	4.3		
	• Highway/Rail and Transit Pending Allocations – 281 project allocations totaling \$373,195,703. Resolution FP-02-60	4.3a.	A	D
	• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Pending Allocations – 22 project allocations totaling \$146,570,000.	4.3b.	I	C/D
	• Pending Waivers/Time Extensions – 17 projects totaling \$17,600,000. Waiver 03-02	4.3c.	A	D
	• Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations – 45 Projects totaling \$4,425,807.	4.3d.	I	D
	• Adoption of the Rate for Local Government Matching of California Aid to Airports Program (CAAP) Grants Resolution G-03-____	4.4	A	D
	• Proposed Amendments to the Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicle (GARVEE) Program Guidelines	4.5.	I	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Renewal of the Delegation of Project Allocation Authority to the Department of Transportation for State Highway Operations and Protection Program (SHOPP) Pavement Rehabilitation and Safety Projects Resolution G-03-____, Replacing Resolution G-01-10</li> </ul>	4.6		A D
	<b><u>MODAL ISSUES</u></b>	5.		
	Rail – <u>No Items This Month</u>	5.1		
	Highways – <u>No Items This Month</u>	5.2		
	Transit – <u>No Items This Month</u>	5.3		
	<b><u>PRESENTATIONS</u></b>			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To Be Determined</li> </ul>			
	<b><u>OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT</u></b>	6.		